

Message

From: Tomiak, Robert [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=E43D67FE354A4D06BE80AFA6EB65E614-TOMIAK, ROB]
Sent: 7/7/2017 5:43:48 PM
To: Brown, Byron [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=9242d85c7df343d287659f840d730e65-Brown, Byro]
CC: Starfield, Lawrence [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=8a89d6cd217d4254a5879abecb3f314e-Starfield, Lawrence]; Traylor, Patrick [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=b6d06c6b766c4b4b8bfd6b0fea4b998-Traylor, Pa]; Schwab, Justin [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=eed0f609c0944cc2bbdb05df3a10aadb-Schwab, Jus]
Subject: RE: NEPA Forecast Report

Unrelated/Unresponsive

- Action: Comment letter guidance; focused comments in concise letters; agency surveys; prioritize early cooperation
- Perception: Environmental review process takes too long
 - Action: Federal agency process review/improvement assist team
- Perception: Regional inconsistency
 - Action: Elevation criteria; HQ team review

We continue our efforts to invite additional recommendations from our federal colleagues with the expectation that we may add to the above list. We had a very productive staff-to-staff meeting with USDA/APHIS last Wednesday; next up are DOE and DOT. Please let me know if you have any questions or require additional details on any of the above.

During the brief to OP, Samantha requested that I share the draft memo (mirroring the 2009 Marcus Peacock memo elevating projects of national significance); will provide via sepcor and copy you.

I understand that you and Patrick have discussed a hand-off/transition on many topics, to include NEPA, so unless there is a misunderstanding on my part, this will be the last NEPA project forecast report that I send to you directly and I think our working assumption is that you prefer to remain engaged on the Buffalo Mine project until we have resolution, is that accurate? Happy to adjust course if I have any of your intent incorrect.

V/R, Rob

From: Tomiak, Robert

Sent: Friday, June 02, 2017 8:23 AM

Unrelated/Unresponsive

V/R, Rob

From: Tomiak, Robert
Sent: Thursday, May 18, 2017 1:47 PM
To: Byron Brown (brown.byron@epa.gov) <brown.byron@epa.gov>
Cc: Starfield, Lawrence (Starfield.Lawrence@epa.gov) <Starfield.Lawrence@epa.gov>; David Cozad (Cozad.David@epa.gov) <Cozad.David@epa.gov>; Knight, Kelly <knight.kelly@epa.gov>; Marshall, Tom (marshall.tom@epa.gov) <marshall.tom@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: NEPA Forecast Report

Byron,

Updated NEPA forecast report is attached. Two quick highlighted updates:

Regarding R9's Rosemont Mine project review, in follow-up to my note in the third paragraph of the email below from two weeks ago, R9 in consultation with OECA has decided not to send a response to the ROD notice or the final EIS, and does not intend to provide a recommendation to the Administrator to refer the Forest Service's action to CEQ based on the ROD. This project is now tracking toward a CWA 404 permit decision as its next step, so it will come off of future NEPA forecast reports.

Unrelated/Unresponsive

Unrelated/Unresponsive

V/R, Rob

From: Tomiak, Robert
Sent: Thursday, May 04, 2017 8:07 AM
To: Byron Brown (brown.byron@epa.gov) <brown.byron@epa.gov>
Cc: Starfield, Lawrence (Starfield.Lawrence@epa.gov) <Starfield.Lawrence@epa.gov>; David Cozad (Cozad.David@epa.gov) <Cozad.David@epa.gov>; Knight, Kelly <knight.kelly@epa.gov>; Marshall, Tom (marshall.tom@epa.gov) <marshall.tom@epa.gov>
Subject: NEPA Forecast Report

Byron,

Unrelated/Unresponsive

Unrelated/Unresponsive

The project that is most likely to trigger a briefing soonest with you is R9's Rosemont Mine project. We are working with our regional, OW, and OGC counterparts to reach a meeting of the minds and develop options/recommendations; however, as indicated in the forecast report, we have a bit of work yet to do before we will be prepared to bring this to you. If you have any questions or would informally like a better understanding of the background and facts currently being considered in our deliberations on any of the listed projects, I can be available at your convenience.

V/R, Rob